arXiv:1505.03833v2 [math.DG] 15 Apr 2016

On Warped product gradient Ricci Soliton

Márcio Lemes de Sousa

ICET - CUA, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso,

Av. Universitária nº 3.500, Pontal do Araguaia, MT, Brazil

e-mail: marciolemesew@yahoo.com.br

Romildo Pina *

IME, Universidade Federal de Goiás,

Caixa Postal 131, 74001-970, Goiânia, GO, Brazil

e-mail: romildo@ufg.br

April 18, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we consider $M = B \times_f F$ warped product gradient Ricci solitons. We show that when the Hessian matrix of f is not zero then the potential function depends only on the base and the fiber F is necessarily Einstein manifold. Moreover, we provide all such solutions in the case of steady gradient Ricci solitons when the base is conformal to an n-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space, invariant under the action of an $(n-1)$-dimensional translation group, and the fiber F is Ricci-flat.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C21, 53C50, 53C25

Key words: semi-Riemannian metric, gradient Ricci solitons, warped product

1 Introduction and main statements

Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. We say that (M, g) is a **gradient Ricci soliton** if there exists a differentiable function $h : M \to \mathbb{R}$ (called the

---

*Partially supported by CAPES-PROCAD.

potential function) such that

$$\mathrm{Ric}_g + \mathrm{Hess}_g(h) = \rho g, \qquad \rho \in \mathbb{R},$$

where Ric_g is the Ricci tensor, $\mathrm{Hess}_g(h)$ is the Hessian of h with respect to the metric g, and ρ is a real number. A gradient Ricci soliton is said to be *shrinking, steady or expanding* if $\rho > 0$, $\rho = 0$ or $\rho < 0$, respectively. When M is a Riemannian manifold usually one requires the manifold to be complete. In the case of semi-Riemannian manifold, one does not require (M, g) to be complete.

Ricci solitons are natural extensions of Einstein manifolds and they appear as self-similar solutions of the Ricci flow $\partial g(t)/\partial t = -2\mathrm{Ric} g(t)$. Moreover, Ricci solitons are important in understanding singularities of the Ricci flow.

Simple examples of gradient Ricci solitons are obtained by considering $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the canonical metric g. Then $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton, where $h(x) = A|x|^2/2 + g(x, B) + C$, $A, C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are all the potential functions. In this case, $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ is a shrinking, steady or expanding soliton according to the sign of the constant A. Bryant [9] proved that there exists a complete, steady, gradient Ricci soliton spherically symmetric for any $n \geq 3$, which is known as Bryant's soliton.

Recently, Cao and Chen [10] showed that any complete, steady, gradient Ricci soliton, locally conformally flat, up to homothety, is either isometric to the Bryant's soliton or is flat. Complete, shrinking gradient solitons, conformally flat, have been characterized as being quotients of $\mathbb{R}^n$, S^n or $\mathbb{R} \times S^{n-1}$ (see [13]).

Although the Ricci soliton equation was introduced and studied initially in the Riemannian context, Lorentzian Ricci solitons have been recently investigated in [2], [4] and [16], where the authors show that there are important differences with the Riemannian case. The existence of Lorentzian, steady, gradient Ricci solitons which are locally conformally flat and distinct from Bryant's solitons, was proved in [2]. In [5], the authors gave a local characterization of the Lorentzian gradient Ricci solitons which are locally conformally flat.

In [1], Barbosa-Pina-Tenenblat, considered gradient Ricci solitons, conformal to an n-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space, which are invariant under the action of an $(n-1)$-dimensional translation group. The one provided all such solutions in the case of steady gradient Ricci solitons.

Our purpose is to generalize the results in [1]. To get these generalizations, we have to use warped product manifolds to study gradient Ricci solitons that are non locally conformally flat. Then considering (B, g_B) and (F, g_F) semi-Riemannian manifolds, and let $f > 0$ be a smooth function on B, the warped product $M = B \times_f F$ is the product manifold $B \times F$ furnished with metric tensor

$$\tilde{g} = g_B + f^2 g_F,$$

B is called the base of $M = B \times_f F$, F the fiber and f is the warping function. For example, polar coordinates determine a warped product in the case of constant curvature spaces, the case corresponds to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times_r S^{n-1}$.

There are several studies correlating warped product manifolds and locally conformally flat manifolds, see [6], [8] and their references.

Many of the original examples of gradient Ricci solitons arise as warped products over a one dimensional base (cf. [11], [14]).

In this paper, initially we prove that if a warped product $M = B \times_f F$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with the hypothesis that there is at least one pair of vector (X_i, X_k) of the base, such that $\mathrm{Hess}_{g_B}(f)(X_i, X_k) \neq 0$ then the potential function depends only on the base and the fiber is necessarily an Einstein manifold (see Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1). The Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 generalize the results obtained in [7] where the authors studied warped product gradient Ricci solitons with one-dimensional base.

In what follows, we consider warped product $M = B \times_f F$ gradient Ricci solitons, where the base is conformal to a pseudo-Euclidean space which are invariant under the action of an $(n-1)$-dimensional translation group and the fiber is an Einstein manifold. More precisely, let $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ be the pseudo-Euclidean space, $n \geq 3$, with coordinates $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i$ and let $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$ be a warped product where $\overline{g} = \dfrac{1}{\varphi^2} g$, F a semi–Riemannian Einstein manifold with constant Ricci curvature λ_F, $m \geq 1$, $f, \varphi, h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, smooth functions, and f is a positive function. In Theorem 1.2 we find necessary and sufficient conditions for the warped product metric $\widetilde{g} = \overline{g} + f^2 g_F$ be a gradient Ricci soliton, namely

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\widetilde{g}} + \mathrm{Hess}_{\widetilde{g}}(h) = \rho \widetilde{g}, \qquad \rho \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

In Theorem 1.3, we consider f, φ and h invariant under the action of an (n-1)–dimensional translation group and let $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, be a basic invariant for an $(n-1)$-dimensional translation group. We want to obtain differentiable functions $\varphi(\xi), f(\xi)$ and $h(\xi)$, such that the metric $\widetilde{g}$ is a gradient Ricci soliton. We first obtain necessary and sufficient conditions on $f(\xi)$, $\varphi(\xi)$ and $h(\xi)$ for the existence of $\widetilde{g}$. We show that these conditions are different depending on the direction $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \partial/\partial x_i$ being null (lightlike) or not. We observe that in the null case the metrics $\tilde{g}$ and g_F are necessarily gradient Ricci solitons steady and Ricci-flat, respectively.

Considering $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$ and F Ricci-flat manifold, we obtain all the metrics $\tilde{g} = \overline{g} + f^2 g_F$, which are gradient Ricci solitons steady and are invariant under the action of an $(n-1)$-dimensional translation group. We prove that if the direction α is timelike or spacelike, the functions f, φ and h depend on the dimensions n, m and also on a finite number of parameters. In fact, the solutions are explicitly given in Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6. If the direction α is null, then there are infinitely many solutions. In fact, in this case, for any given positive differentiable functions $f(\xi)$ and $\varphi(\xi)$, the function $h(\xi)$ satisfies a linear ordinary differential equation of second order (see Theorem 1.7). We illustrate this fact with some explicit examples.

When the dimension of the fiber F is $m = 1$ we consider $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f \mathbb{R}$ and in this case $\lambda_F = 0$.

In what follows, we state our main results. We denote by $\varphi_{,x_i x_j}$, $f_{,x_i x_j}$ and $h_{,x_i x_j}$ the second order derivative of φ, f and h, with respect to $x_i x_j$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $M = B^n \times_f F^m$ be a warped product semi-Riemannian manifold with metric $\tilde{g}$. If the warped product $\tilde{g} = g_B + f^2 g_F$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with $h : M \to \mathbb{R}$ as potential function, and there is at least one pair of vector (X_i, X_k) of the base, such that $Hess_{g_B}(f)(X_i, X_k) \neq 0$, then h depends only on the base.*

Corollary 1.1 *Let $M = B \times_f F$ be a warped product semi-Riemannian manifold with metric $\tilde{g}$. If the warped product metric $\tilde{g} = g_B + f^2 g_F$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with $h : B \to \mathbb{R}$ as potential function, and f is non-constant, then the fiber is an Einstein manifold.*

The results obtained in Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 are valid in the Riemannian case. Motivated by the previous results we study the problem considering warped product with h depending only on the base and the fiber an Einstein manifold.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ be a pseudo-Euclidean space, $n \geq 3$ with coordinates $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i$. Consider $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$ a warped product, where $\overline{g} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} g$, F a semi–Riemannian Einstein manifold with constant Ricci curvature λ_F, $m \geq 1$, $f, \varphi, h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, smooth functions and f is a positive function. Then the warped* *product metric $\tilde{g} = \overline{g} + f^2 g_F$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with h as potential function if, and only if, the functions f, φ and h satisfy:*

$$(n-2)f\varphi_{,x_ix_j} + f\varphi h_{,x_ix_j} - m\varphi f_{,x_ix_j} - m\varphi_{,x_i}f_{,x_j} - m\varphi_{,x_j}f_{,x_i} + f\varphi_{,x_i}h_{,x_j} + f\varphi_{,x_j}h_{,x_i} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi\Big[(n-2)f\varphi_{,x_ix_i} + f\varphi h_{,x_ix_i} - m\varphi f_{,x_ix_i} - 2m\varphi_{,x_i}f_{,x_i} + 2f\varphi_{,x_i}h_{,x_i}\Big] & + & \\ \varepsilon_i \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \Big[f\varphi\varphi_{,x_kx_k} - (n-1)f\varphi_{,x_k}^2 + m\varphi\varphi_{,x_k}f_{,x_k} - f\varphi\varphi_{,x_k}h_{,x_k}\Big] & = & \varepsilon_i\rho f, 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \Big[-f\varphi^2 f_{,x_kx_k} + (n-2)f\varphi f_{,x_k}\varphi_{,x_k} - (m-1)\varphi^2 f_{,x_k}^2 + f\varphi^2 f_{,x_k}h_{,x_k}\Big] = \rho f^2 - \lambda_F. \quad (4)$$

We want to find solutions of the system (2), (3) and (4) of the form $\varphi(\xi)$, $f(\xi)$ and $h(\xi)$, where $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 \neq 0$, without loss of generality, we may consider $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = \pm 1$. The following theorem provides the system of ordinary differential equations that must be satisfied by such solutions.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ be a pseudo-Euclidean space, $n \geq 3$, with coordinates $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i$. Consider $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$, where $\overline{g} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2}g$, F^m a semi–Riemannian Einstein manifold with constant Ricci curvature λ_F and smooth functions $\varphi(\xi)$, $f(\xi)$ and $h(\xi)$, where $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = \varepsilon_{i_0}$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = 0$. Then the warped product metric $\tilde{g} = \overline{g} + f^2 g_F$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with h as potential function if, and only if, the functions f, φ and h satisfy:*

(i)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (n-2)f\varphi'' + f\varphi h'' - m\varphi f'' - 2m\varphi' f' + 2f\varphi' h' = 0, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \alpha_k^2 \Big[f\varphi\varphi'' - (n-1)f\varphi'^2 + m\varphi\varphi' f' - f\varphi\varphi' h'\Big] = \rho f \\ \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \alpha_k^2 \Big[-f\varphi^2 f'' + (n-2)f\varphi\varphi' f' - (m-1)\varphi^2 f'^2 + f\varphi^2 f' h'\Big] = \rho f^2 - \lambda_F, \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = \varepsilon_{i_0}$, and

(ii)

$$(n-2)f\varphi'' + f\varphi h'' - m\varphi f'' - 2m\varphi' f' + 2f\varphi' h' = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \rho = \lambda_F = 0, \quad (6)$$

whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = 0.$

In the following two results we provide all the solutions of (5) when $\widetilde{g}$ is steady gradient Ricci solitons, i.e., $\rho = 0$, and F^m is a Ricci-flat manifold, i.e., $\lambda_F = 0$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ *be a pseudo-Euclidean space,* $n \geq 3$, *with coordinates* $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ *and* $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i$. *Consider smooth functions* $\varphi(\xi)$, $f(\xi)$ *and* $h(\xi)$, *where* $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = \varepsilon_{i_0}$, *given by*

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_\pm(\xi) &= \dfrac{c_2}{(N_\pm \xi + b)^{\frac{k}{N_\pm}}}, \\ \\ f_\pm(\xi) &= \dfrac{c_1}{(N_\pm \xi + b)^{\frac{1}{N_\pm}}}, \\ \\ h_\pm(\xi) &= -\dfrac{m - (n-2)k + N_\pm}{N_\pm} \ln(N_\pm \xi + b) \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $k, c_1, c_2, N_\pm$ *and* b *are constant with* $k, c_1, c_2 > 0$ *and* $N_\pm = -k \pm \sqrt{m + k^2(n-1)}$. *Then the warped product* $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$, *with* $\overline{g} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} g$ *and* F *Ricci-flat, is a steady gradient Ricci soliton with* h *as potential function. These solutions are defined on the half space determined by* $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i < \frac{b}{-k + \sqrt{m + k^2(n-1)}}$ *or* $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i > \frac{b}{-k - \sqrt{m + k^2(n-1)}}$.

Theorem 1.5 *Let* $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ *be a pseudo-Euclidean space,* $n \geq 3$, *with coordinates* $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ *and* $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i$. *Consider smooth functions* $x(\xi)$, *and* $z(\xi)$, *where* $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = \pm 1$, *given by*

$$x = c_3 \left(z + k - \sqrt{m + k^2(n-1)}\right)^{\frac{a-1}{2}} \left(z + k + \sqrt{m + k^2(n-1)}\right)^{-\frac{a+1}{2}} \tag{8}$$

$$z' = -c_3 \left(z + k - \sqrt{m + k^2(n-1)}\right)^{\frac{a+1}{2}} \left(z + k + \sqrt{m + k^2(n-1)}\right)^{-\frac{a-1}{2}} \tag{9}$$

where a, k, c_3 *are constants with,* $k, c_3 > 0$ *and* $a = \dfrac{k}{\sqrt{m + k^2(n-1)}}$.

Let $\varphi(\xi)$, $f(\xi)$ *and* $h(\xi)$ *be functions obtained by integrating,*

$$\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}(\xi) = k\frac{f'}{f}(\xi), \qquad \frac{f'}{f}(\xi) = x(\xi), \qquad h'(\xi) = [z(\xi) + m - k(n-2)]x(\xi)\cdot \tag{10}$$

Then the warped product $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$, *with* $\overline{g} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} g$ *and* F *Ricci-flat, is a steady gradient Ricci soliton with* h *as potential function.*

We remark that the obtained metric, in the Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, are non locally conformally flat.

Theorem 1.6 *Let* $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ *be a pseudo-Euclidean space,* $n \geq 3$*, with coordinates* $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ *and* $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i$*. Consider* $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$ *a warped product where* $\overline{g} = \dfrac{1}{\varphi^2}g$*. Let* $\tilde{g} = \overline{g} + f^2 g_F$*, be a steady gradient Ricci soliton with* h *as potential function and* F *Ricci-flat. Then* φ*,* f *and* h *are invariant under an (n-1)-dimensional translation group whose basic invariant is* $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$*, where* $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \partial/\partial x_i$ *is a non null vector if, and only if,* φ*,* f *and* h *are given as in Theorems* 1.4 *or* 1.5*.*

The following theorem shows that there are infinitely many warped products $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$ steady gradient Ricci solitons with h as potential function, which are invariant under the action of an $(n-1)$–dimensional group acting on $\mathbb{R}^n$, when $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \partial/\partial\, x_i$ is null vector.

Theorem 1.7 *Let* $\varphi(\xi)$ *and* $f(\xi)$ *be any positive differentiable functions, where* $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ *and* $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = 0$*. Then the function* $h(\xi)$ *given by*

$$h(\xi) = \int \left(\varphi^{-2}\left[\int (m\frac{f''}{f}\varphi^2 + 2m\varphi\varphi'\frac{f'}{f} - (n-2)\varphi\varphi'')d\xi + c_4\right]\right) d\xi + c_5; c_4, c_5 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (11)$$

satisfies (6) and $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$ *is a steady gradient Ricci soliton with* h *as potential function.*

Before proving our main results, we present two examples illustrating Theorem 1.7. Let $f(\xi) = \varphi(\xi) = ke^{A\xi}$, where $k > 0$, $A \neq 0$, $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = 0$. Solving (11) we get

$$h(\xi) = (3m - (n-2))\frac{A}{2}\xi - \frac{c_4}{2Ak^2}e^{-2A\xi} + c_5; \quad c_4, c_5 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows from Theorem 1.7 that $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$ is a steady gradient Ricci soliton, with h as potential function. Similarly, if we choose $f(\xi) = e^{-\xi^2}$ and $\varphi(\xi) = e^{\xi}$, then it follows from Theorem 1.7 that

$$h(\xi) = \frac{2m}{3}\xi^3 - 2m\xi^2 + \left(m - \frac{n-2}{2}\right)\xi - \frac{1}{2}c_4 e^{-2\xi} + c_5; \quad c_4, c_5 \in \mathbb{R},$$

is the potential function of the steady gradient Ricci soliton $\tilde{g} = \overline{g} + f^2 g_F$.

2 Proofs of the Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1.1:

Let $M = B \times_f F$ be a gradient Ricci soliton with potential h, then

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{g}} + \mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h) = \rho\tilde{g}, \qquad \rho \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Considering $X_1, \dots X_n \in \mathcal{L}(B)$ $Y_1 \dots, Y_m \in \mathcal{L}(F)$, where $\mathcal{L}(B)$ and $\mathcal{L}(F)$ are respectively the lift of a vector field on B and F to $B \times F$, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(X_i, X_j) & = & Ric_{g_B}(X_i, X_j) - \frac{m}{f} Hess_{g_B} f(X_i, X_j), \ \forall \ i, \ j = 1, \dots n \\ Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(X_i, Y_j) & = & 0, \forall \ i = 1, \dots n, \ j = 1, \dots m \\ Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(Y_i, Y_j) & = & Ric_{g_F}(Y_i, Y_j) - \widetilde{g}(Y_i, Y_j) \left(\frac{\triangle_{g_B} f}{f} + (m-1) \frac{\widetilde{g}(\nabla f, \nabla f)}{f^2} \right), \ \forall \ i, \ j = 1, \dots m \end{array} \right. \tag{12}$$

How $\tilde{g}(X_i, Y_j) = 0$ for $i = 1 \dots n$ and $j = 1 \dots m$ (see [15]), we have that

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i, Y_j) = 0. \tag{13}$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i, Y_j) = X_i Y_j(h) - (\nabla_{X_i} Y_j)(h), i = 1 \dots n, j = 1 \dots m,$$

where ∇ is the connection of M. Since (see [15]),

$$\nabla_{X_i} Y_j = \frac{X_i(f)}{f} Y_j$$

we have

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i, Y_j) = h_{,x_i y_j} - \frac{f_{,x_i}}{f} h_{,y_j}. \tag{14}$$

Using (14) and (13) we obtain:

$$h_{,x_i y_j} - \frac{f_{,x_i}}{f} h_{,y_j} = 0. \tag{15}$$

If $h = h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ then the equation (15) is trivially satisfied. Suppose that there is at least one y_j, with $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $h_{,y_j} \neq 0$. In this case, it follows from (15) that

$$\frac{h_{,x_i y_j}}{h_{,y_j}} = \frac{f_{,x_i}}{f}, \forall \ \ i = 1 \dots n, \ \ j = 1 \dots m. \tag{16}$$

integrating (16) in relation to $x_i$we obtain:

$$\ln h_{,y_j} = \ln f + l(\hat{x_i})$$

this is,

$$h_{,y_j} = f e^{l(\hat{x_i})}. \tag{17}$$

Fixing i and j in (17) and deriving in relation to x_k with $k \neq i$, we obtain

$$h_{,y_j x_k} = f_{,x_k} e^{l(\hat{x_i})} + f l_{,x_k} e^{l(\hat{x_i})}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{h_{x_k y_j}}{h_{y_j}} = \frac{f_{x_k}}{f} + l_{x_k},$$

and again using (16) we have:

$$l_{x_k} = 0,$$

This means that l does not depend x_k, this is

$$l = l(\hat{x_i}, \hat{x_k}).$$

Repeating this process we obtain that l depends only on the fiber, i.e.

$$l = l(y_1, \ldots, y_m),$$

therefore,

$$h_{y_j} = f e^{l(y_1,\ldots,y_m)} \qquad with \qquad 1 \leq j \leq m. \tag{18}$$

Integrating (18) in relation to y_j, we obtain:

$$h(x_1, \ldots, x_n; y_1 \ldots y_m) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \int e^{l(y_1,\ldots,y_m)} dy_j + m(\hat{y_j}). \tag{19}$$

Using the first equation (12), we have,

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i, X_k) = \rho g_B(X_i, X_k) - Ric_{g_B}(X_i, X_k) + \frac{m}{f} Hess_{g_B} f(X_i, X_k), \ \forall\ i,\ k = 1, \ldots n,$$

proving that $\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i, X_k)$, $\forall i,\ k = 1, \ldots n$, depends only on the base. Thus, considering j fixed in equation (19) we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i, X_k) = 0, \forall\ i,\ k = 1, \ldots n. \tag{20}$$

On the other hand $\forall i,\ k = 1, \ldots n$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i, X_k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left(\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(f)(X_i, X_k) \int e^{l(y_1,\ldots,y_m)} dy_j + \mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(m)(X_i, X_k) \right)$$

Since $m = m(\hat{y_j})$, and using the definition of the Hessian get that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(m)(X_i, X_k) = 0, \forall\ i,\ k = 1, \ldots n, \tag{21}$$

and as $\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(f)(X_i, X_k) = \mathrm{Hess}_{g_B}(f)(X_i, X_k)$ follow that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i, X_k) = \mathrm{Hess}_{g_B}(f)(X_i, X_k) e^{l(y_1,\ldots,y_m)}, \forall\ i,\ k = 1, \ldots n. \tag{22}$$

Using (20) and (22) we obtain that:

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{g_B}(f)(X_i,X_k)e^{l(y_1,\ldots,y_m)}=0,\forall\ i,\ k=1,\ldots n,$$

We have for hypothesis that there is at least one pair of vector (X_i,X_k) of the base, such that $\mathrm{Hess}_{g_B}(f)(X_i,X_k)\neq 0$ then

$$e^{l(y_1,\ldots,y_m)}=0,$$

but this is impossible. Therefore $h_{,y_j}=0 \quad \forall \quad j=1\ldots m$. Consequently h depends only on the base.

This concludes the proof of the Theorem 1.1.

□

Proof of Corollary 1.1 Let $M=B\times_f F$ be a gradient Ricci soliton with potential h defined only on the base, then by the equation (1) we have,

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}+\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)=\rho\tilde{g},\qquad \rho\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Considering $Y,Z\in\mathcal{L}(F)$, we have that

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}(Y,Z)+\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(Y,Z)=\rho\tilde{g}(Y,Z),\tag{23}$$

but,

$$\tilde{g}(Y,Z)=f^2g_F(Y,Z)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}(Y,Z)=\mathrm{Ric}_{g_F}(Y,Z)-(f\triangle_{g_B}f+(m-1)\|grad_{g_B}f\|^2)g_F(Y,Z)$$

(see for example [15]). Replacing $\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}(Y,Z)$ in (23), we have

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{g_F}(Y,Z)=(f^2+f\triangle_{g_B}f+(m-1)\|grad_{g_B}f\|^2)g_F(Y,Z)-\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(Y,Z).\tag{24}$$

It follows from (24) that F is Einstein if, and only if,

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(Y,Z)=\lambda g_F(Y,Z).$$

Indeed, as by hypotheses h depends only on the base, thus $grad_{\tilde{g}}h=grad_{g_B}h$, then

$$\mathcal{H}(grad_{\tilde{g}}h)=grad_{g_B}h,$$

$$\mathcal{V}(grad_{\tilde{g}}h)=0$$

and

$$\nabla_Y(grad_{\tilde{g}}h) = \frac{grad_{g_B}h(f)}{f}Y.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(Y,Z) = \frac{grad_{g_B}h(f)}{f}\tilde{g}(Y,Z) = f grad_{g_B}h(f) g_F(Y,Z). \tag{25}$$

This concludes the proof Corollary 1.1.

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Assume initially that $m > 1$. It follows from [15] that if $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_m \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ ($\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}(F)$ are respectively the lift of a vector field on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and F to $\mathbb{R}^n \times F$), then

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(X_i,X_j) & = & Ric_{\overline{g}}(X_i,X_j) - \frac{m}{f}Hess_{\overline{g}}f(X_i,X_j), \ \forall\ i,\ j = 1,\ldots n \\ Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(X_i,Y_j) & = & 0, \forall\ i = 1,\ldots n,\ j = 1,\ldots m \\ Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(Y_i,Y_j) & = & Ric_{g_F}(Y_i,Y_j) - \tilde{g}(Y_i,Y_j)(\frac{\triangle_{\overline{g}}f}{f} + (m-1)\frac{\widetilde{g(\nabla f,\nabla f)}}{f^2}),\ \forall\ i,\ j = 1,\ldots m \end{array}\right. \tag{26}$$

It is well known (see, e.g., [3]) that if $\overline{g} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2}g$, then

$$Ric_{\overline{g}} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2}\left\{(n-2)\varphi Hess_g(\varphi) + [\varphi\Delta_g\varphi - (n-1)|\nabla_g\varphi|^2]g\right\}.$$

Since $g(X_i,X_j) = \varepsilon_i\delta_{ij}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} Ric_{\overline{g}}(X_i,X_j) & = & \frac{1}{\varphi}\{(n-2)Hess_g(\varphi)(X_i,X_j)\}\ \forall\ i \neq\ j = 1,\ldots n \\ Ric_{\overline{g}}(X_i,X_i) & = & \frac{1}{\varphi^2}\left\{(n-2)\varphi Hess_g(\varphi)(X_i,X_i) + [\varphi\Delta_g\varphi - (n-1)|\nabla_g\varphi|^2]\varepsilon_i\right\}\ \forall\ i = 1,\ldots n. \end{array}$$

Since $Hess_g(\varphi)(X_i,X_j) = \varphi_{,x_ix_j}$, $\Delta_g\varphi = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k\varphi_{,x_kx_k}$ and $|\nabla_g\varphi|^2 = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k\varphi^2_{,x_k}$, we have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} Ric_{\overline{g}}(X_i,X_j) & = & \dfrac{(n-2)\varphi_{,x_ix_j}}{\varphi} \qquad \forall\ i \neq\ j : 1\ldots\ n \\ Ric_{\overline{g}}(X_i,X_i) & = & \dfrac{(n-2)\varphi_{,x_ix_i} + \varepsilon_i\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k\varphi_{,x_kx_kx_k}}{\varphi} - (n-1)\varepsilon_i\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}\dfrac{\varepsilon_k\varphi^2_{,x_k}}{\varphi^2} \end{array}\right. \tag{27}$$

Recall that

$$Hess_{\overline{g}}(f)(X_i,X_j) = f_{,x_ix_j} - \sum_k\overline{\Gamma}^k_{ij}f_{,x_k},$$

where $\overline{\Gamma}^k_{ij}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the metric $\overline{g}$. For $i,\ j,\ k$ distinct, we have

$$\overline{\Gamma}^k_{ij} = 0 \qquad \overline{\Gamma}^i_{ij} = -\frac{\varphi_{,x_j}}{\varphi} \qquad \overline{\Gamma}^k_{ii} = \varepsilon_i\varepsilon_k\frac{\varphi_{,x_k}}{\varphi} \qquad \overline{\Gamma}^i_{ii} = -\frac{\varphi_{,x_j}}{\varphi}$$

therefore,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} Hess_{\overline{g}}(f)(X_i,X_j) & = & f_{,x_ix_j} + \frac{\varphi_{,x_j}}{\varphi} f_{,x_i} + \frac{\varphi_{,x_i}}{\varphi} f_{,x_j},\ \forall\ i \neq j = 1\dots n \\ Hess_{\overline{g}}(f)(X_i,X_i) & = & f_{,x_ix_i} + 2\frac{\varphi_{,x_i}}{\varphi} f_{,x_i} - \varepsilon_i \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \frac{\varphi_{,x_k}}{\varphi} f_{,x_k} \end{array}\right. \tag{28}$$

Substituting (27) and (28) in the first equation of the (26) we obtain

$$Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(X_i,X_j) = \frac{(n-2)\varphi_{,x_ix_j}}{\varphi} - \frac{m}{f}\left[f_{,x_ix_j} + \frac{\varphi_{,x_j}}{\varphi} f_{,x_i} + \frac{\varphi_{,x_i}}{\varphi} f_{,x_j}\right],\ \forall\ i \neq j \tag{29}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(X_i,X_i) & = & \dfrac{(n-2)\varphi_{,x_ix_i} + \varepsilon_i \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \varphi_{,x_kx_k}}{\varphi} - (n-1)\varepsilon_i \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \dfrac{\varepsilon_k \varphi_{,x_k}^2}{\varphi^2} \\ & - & \dfrac{m}{f}\left[f_{,x_ix_i} + 2\dfrac{\varphi_{,x_i}}{\varphi} f_{,x_i} - \varepsilon_i \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \dfrac{\varphi_{,x_k}}{\varphi} f_{,x_k}.\right] \end{array} \tag{30}$$

On the other hand,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ccl} Ric_{g_F}(Y_i,Y_j) & = & \lambda_F g_F(Y_i,Y_j) \\ \widetilde{g}(Y_i,Y_j) & = & f^2 g_F(Y_i,Y_j) \\ \Delta_{\overline{g}} f & = & \varphi^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k f_{,x_kx_k} - (n-2)\varphi \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \varphi_{,x_k} f_{,x_k} \\ \widetilde{g}(\nabla f, \nabla f) & = & \varphi^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k f_{,x_k}^2 \end{array}\right. \tag{31}$$

Substituting (31) in the third equation of (26), we have

$$Ric_{\widetilde{g}}(Y_i,Y_j) = \gamma_{ij} g_F(Y_i,Y_j) \tag{32}$$

where,

$$\gamma_{ij} = \lambda_F - f\varphi^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k f_{,x_kx_k} + (n-2) f\varphi \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \varphi_{,x_k} f_{,x_k} - (m-1)\varphi^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k f_{,x_k}^2.$$

We want to find h satisfying

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}(X,Y) + \mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X,Y) = \rho\tilde{g}(X,Y), \forall X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(M). \tag{33}$$

On the other hand, since $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$Hess_{\widetilde{g}}(h)(X_i,X_j) = Hess_{\overline{g}}(h)(X_i,X_j), \forall 1 \leq i,j \leq n$$

i.e.,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} Hess_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i,X_j) & = & h_{,x_ix_j}+\dfrac{\varphi_{,x_j}}{\varphi}h_{,x_i}+\dfrac{\varphi_{,x_i}}{\varphi}h_{,x_j},\ \forall\ i\neq j=1\ldots n \\ Hess_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i,X_i) & = & h_{,x_ix_i}+2\dfrac{\varphi_{,x_i}}{\varphi}h_{,x_i}-\varepsilon_i\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k\dfrac{\varphi_{,x_k}}{\varphi}h_{,x_k}. \end{array}\right. \tag{34}$$

By substituting (29) and the first equation of (34) into (33), we obtain (2). Again replacing (30) and the second equation of (34) into (33) we get (3). Now for $X_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Y_j \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$) we get

$$Hess_{\tilde{g}}(h)(X_i,Y_j)=0$$

by Theorem 1.1. In this case the equation (33) is trivially satisfied. Taking $Y_i, Y_j \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ by using the equation (25) we have:

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(Y_i,Y_j)=\frac{grad_{\overline{g}}h(f)}{f}\tilde{g}(Y_i,Y_j)=f grad_{\overline{g}}h(f)g_F(Y_i,Y_j)$$

but,

$$grad_{\overline{g}}h(f)=\overline{g}(grad_{g_B}h, grad_{g_B}f)=\varphi^2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k f_{,x_k}h_{,x_k}$$

Then we have,

$$\mathrm{Hess}_{\tilde{g}}(h)(Y_i,Y_j)=f\varphi^2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k f_{,x_k}h_{,x_k}g_F(Y_i,Y_j). \tag{35}$$

By substituting (32) and (35) into (33) we obtain (4)

The reciprocal of this theorem can be easily verified. In the case $m=1$ just remember that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ric_{\tilde{g}}(X_i,X_j) & = & Ric_{\overline{g}}(X_i,X_j)-\dfrac{1}{f}Hess_{\overline{g}}f(X_i,X_j),\ \forall\ i,\ j=1,\ldots n \\ Ric_{\tilde{g}}(X_i,Y) & = & 0,\ \forall\ i=1,\ldots n \\ Ric_{\tilde{g}}(Y,Y) & = & -\tilde{g}(Y,Y)\dfrac{\triangle_{\overline{g}}f}{f}. \end{array}$$

In this case the equation (2) and (3) remain the same and the equation (4) reduces to

$$-\varphi^2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k f_{,x_kx_k}+(n-2)\varphi\sum_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k f_{,x_k}\varphi_{,x_k}+\varphi^2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k f_{,x_k}h_{,x_k}=\rho f.$$

This concludes the proof Theorem 1.2.

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Assume initially that $m>1$. Let $\overline{g}=\varphi^{-2}g$ be a conformal metric of g. We are assuming that $\varphi(\xi)$, $f(\xi)$ and $h(\xi)$ are functions of ξ, where $\xi=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i x_i$,

$\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sum_i \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = \varepsilon_{i_0}$ or $\sum_i \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = 0$. Hence, we have

$$\varphi_{,x_i} = \varphi' \alpha_i, \qquad \varphi_{,x_i x_j} = \varphi'' \alpha_i \alpha_j,$$

$$f_{,x_i} = h' \alpha_i, \qquad f_{,x_i x_j} = f'' \alpha_i \alpha_j,$$

and

$$h_{,x_i} = h' \alpha_i, \qquad h_{,x_i x_j} = h'' \alpha_i \alpha_j \,.$$

Substituting these expressions into (2), we get

$$(n-2) f \varphi'' \alpha_i \alpha_j + f \varphi h'' \alpha_i \alpha_j - m \varphi f'' \alpha_i \alpha_j - 2m \varphi' f' \alpha_i \alpha_j + 2 f \varphi' h' = 0, \qquad \forall\ i \neq j.$$

If there exist $i \neq j$ such that $\alpha_i \alpha_j \neq 0$, then this equation reduces to

$$(n-2) f \varphi'' + f \varphi h'' - m \varphi f'' - 2m \varphi' f' + 2 f \varphi' h' = 0 \tag{36}$$

Similarly, considering equation (3), we get

$$\begin{gathered} \alpha_i^2 \varphi \left[(n-2) f \varphi'' + f \varphi h'' - m \varphi f'' - 2m \varphi' f' + 2 f \varphi' h' \right] \ + \\ \varepsilon_i \textstyle\sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k^2 \left[f \varphi \varphi'' - (n-1) f (\varphi')^2 + m \varphi \varphi' f' - f \varphi \varphi' h' \right] = \varepsilon_i \rho f. \end{gathered} \tag{37}$$

Due to the relation between φ'', f'' and h'' given in (36), the equation (37) reduces to

$$\sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k^2 \Big[f \varphi \varphi'' - (n-1) f (\varphi')^2 + m \varphi \varphi' f' - f \varphi \varphi' h' \Big] = \rho f. \tag{38}$$

Analogously to equation (4) reduces to

$$\sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k^2 \Big[-f \varphi^2 f'' + (n-2) f \varphi \varphi' f' - (m-1) \varphi^2 f'^2 + f \varphi^2 f' h' \Big] = \rho . f^2 - \lambda_F \tag{39}$$

Therefore, if $\sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k^2 = \varepsilon_{i_0}$, we obtain the equations of the system (5). If $\sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k^2 = 0$, we have (36) satisfied and (38) implies $\rho = 0$, hence $\lambda_F = 0$ i.e., (6) holds.

If for all $i \neq\ j$, we have $\alpha_i \alpha_j = 0$, then $\xi = x_{i_0}$, and equation (2) is trivially satisfied for all $i \neq\ j$. Considering (3) for $i \neq\ i_0$, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varepsilon_k \alpha_k^2 [f \varphi \varphi'' - (n-1) f \varphi'^2 + m \varphi \varphi' f' - f \varphi \varphi' h'] = \rho f$$

and hence, the second equation of (5) is satisfied. Considering $i = i_0$ in (3) we get that the first equation of (5) is satisfied.

Considering $i = i_0$ or $i \neq\ i_0$ in (4), we get that the third equation (5) is satisfied.

When $m = 1$ the first and the second equation of the system (5) are the same, and the third equation reduces to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k\alpha_k^2[-\varphi^2 f''+(n-2)\varphi\varphi' f'+\varphi^2 f'h']=\rho f.$$

This concludes the proof Theorem 1.3.

$\square$

In order to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, we consider functions $\varphi(\xi), f(\xi)$ and $h(\xi)$, where $\xi=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i x_i, \alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\varepsilon_i\alpha_i^2=\pm 1$. It follows from Theorem 1.3 that $\tilde{g}=\overline{g}+f^2 g_F$ is a steady gradient Ricci soliton with Ricci-flat F and h as potential function if, and only if, φ, f and h satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (n-2)\dfrac{\varphi''}{\varphi}+h''-m\dfrac{f''}{f}-2m\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\dfrac{f'}{f}+2\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h'=0, \\ \dfrac{\varphi''}{\varphi}-(n-1)\left(\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)^2+m\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\dfrac{f'}{f}-\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h'=0 \\ -\dfrac{f''}{f}+(n-2)\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\dfrac{f'}{f}-(m-1)\left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)^2+\dfrac{f'}{f}h'=0, \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} (n-2)\left(\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)'+(n-2)\left(\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)^2+h''-m\left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)'-m\left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)^2-2m\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\dfrac{f'}{f}+2\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h'=0, \\ \left(\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)'-(n-2)\left(\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)^2+m\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\dfrac{f'}{f}-\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}h'=0 \\ -\left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)'-m\left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)^2+(n-2)\dfrac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\dfrac{f'}{f}+\dfrac{f'}{f}h'=0. \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

Then, it follows from the second equation of (41) that

$$h'=\frac{(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi})'}{\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}}-(n-2)\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}+m\frac{f'}{f}, \tag{42}$$

on the other hand, by using the third equation of (41) we have

$$h'=\frac{(\frac{f'}{f})'}{\frac{f'}{f}}-(n-2)\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}+m\frac{f'}{f}, \tag{43}$$

hence substituting equation (43) into (42), we get

$$\frac{(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi})'}{\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}}=\frac{(\frac{f'}{f})'}{\frac{f'}{f}}. \tag{44}$$

Integrating the equation (44) we obtain:

$$\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}=k\frac{f'}{f}, \quad k>0. \tag{45}$$

Substituting (45) in the system (41), we get

$$\begin{cases} h'' + [(n-2)k - m]\left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)' = [2mk + m - (n-2)k^2]\left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)^2 - 2k\dfrac{f'}{f}h' \\ \left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)' = [(n-2)k - m]\left(\dfrac{f'}{f}\right)^2 + \dfrac{f'}{f}h'\cdot \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

Considering

$$x(\xi) = (f'/f)(\xi) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad y = h' + [(n-2)k - m]\frac{f'}{f} \tag{47}$$

the system of equations (46) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} y' = [m + (n-2)k^2]x^2 - 2kxy \\ x' = xy\ \cdot \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

It follows from (48) that

$$xydy - \{[m + (n-2)k^2]x^2 - 2kxy\}dx = 0\cdot \tag{49}$$

In order to study this equation (see e.g. [12] p. 37), we take

$$y(\xi) = x(\xi)z(\xi)\cdot \tag{50}$$

Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 are obtained by considering z to be a non zero constant and a nonconstant function, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We consider solutions of the system (48), as in (50) where $z(\xi) = N$ and N is a nonzero constant, i.e., $y(\xi) = Nx(\xi)$. By substituting y in the first and second equations of (48), we get

$$x' = \frac{m + (n-2)k^2 - 2kN}{N}x^2$$

and

$$x' = Nx^2$$

respectively. Comparing the two expressions we conclude that N must satisfy

$$N^2 + 2kN - (m + (n-2)k^2) = 0\cdot$$

Therefore, we get two values of N, given by

$$N_{\pm} = -k \pm \sqrt{m + (n-1)k^2}\cdot \tag{51}$$

Going back to the second equation, we have that

$$\frac{x'}{x^2} = N_{\pm}\cdot$$

Hence we have

$$x_{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{-1}{N_{\pm}\xi + b} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad y_{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{-N_{\pm}}{N_{\pm}\xi + b}.$$

From (45) and (47) we have $\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} = k\frac{f'}{f}$, $x(\xi) = (f'/f)(\xi)$ and $y = h' + [(n-2)k - m]\frac{f'}{f}$, hence we get φ, f and h given by (7).

This concludes the proof Theorem 1.4.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We now consider solutions of the system (48), where $y(\xi) = x(\xi)z(\xi)$ and $z(\xi)$ is a smooth nonconstant function. Substituting y into (49) and assuming, without loss of generality, $x \neq 0$ on an open subset, we get

$$\frac{dx}{x} = -\frac{z}{z^2 + 2kz - m - (n-2)k^2}dz\cdot \tag{52}$$

Integrating this equation, we get x in terms of z as given by equation (8). Since $y = xz$, it follows from the first equation of (48) that

$$x'z + xz' = [m + (n-2)k^2]x^2 - 2kx^2z,$$

and second equation of (48) that

$$x' = x^2z\cdot$$

Hence we get,

$$z' = x[m + (n-2)k^2 - 2kz - z^2]. \tag{53}$$

Substituting the equation (8) into (53), we conclude that z must satisfy the differential equation (9). Now a straight forward computation shows that both equations of (48) are satisfied. Since we have determined $z(\xi)$ and $x(\xi)$, we can obtain $\varphi(\xi), f(\xi)$ and $h(\xi)$ integrating (10).

This concludes the proof Theorem 1.5.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.6. When $\rho = \lambda_F = 0$, by introducing the auxiliary functions $\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}(\xi) = k\frac{f'}{f}(\xi)$, $\frac{f'}{f}(\xi) = x(\xi)$ and $h'(\xi) = [z(\xi) + m - k(n-2)]x(\xi)$, we have seen that (5) is equivalent to the system (48) for x and y. The solutions of this system can be written as $y(\xi) = x(\xi)z(\xi)$, where $z(\xi)$ is a nonzero function.

If $z(\xi)$ is a non zero constant, then the proof of Theorem 1.4 shows that the solutions of (48) are given by (7). If the function is not constant, then proof of Theorem 1.5 shows that z is determined by (9) and x is given algebraically in terms of z by (8). Then one gets the functions φ, f and the potential h by integrating the ordinary differential equations given by (10). This conclude the proof Theorem 1.6.

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let $(\mathbb{R}^n, g)$ be a pseudo-Euclidean space, $n \geq 3$ with coordinates $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_i$. Consider $M = (\mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g}) \times_f F^m$ a warped product where $\overline{g} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2}g$, F a semi–Riemannian manifold Ricci–flat. Let $\varphi(\xi)$ and $f(\xi)$ be any non-vanishing differentiable functions invariant by the translation of $(n-1)$-dimensional translation group, whose basic invariant is $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^2 = 0$,. Then it follows from Theorem 1.3 that the warped product metric $\widetilde{g} = \overline{g} + f^2 g_F$ is a gradient Ricci soliton with h as a potential if, and only if, $\rho = 0$ and h satisfies the linear ordinary differential equation (6) determined by φ and f. Then is easy to see that

$$h'(\xi) = \varphi^{-2}\left[\int (m\frac{f''}{f}\varphi^2 + 2m\varphi\varphi'\frac{f'}{f} - (n-2)\varphi\varphi'')d\xi + c_4\right]$$

and hence is given by (11)

This concludes the proof Theorem 1.7.

$\square$

References

[1] E. Barbosa, R. Pina, K. Tenenblat - *On Gradient Ricci Solitons conformal to pseudo-Euclidean space*, Israel J. Math. 200 (2014), nº 1, 213–224.

[2] W. Batat, M. Brozos–Vásquez, E. Garcia–Rio, S. Gavino-Fernández - *Ricci solitons on Lorentzian manifolds with large isometry groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 43 (2011), nº 6, 1219-1227.

[3] A. L. Besse - *Einstein Manifolds*, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[4] M. Brozos–Vásquez, G. Calvaruso, E. Garcia–Rio, S. Gavino-Fernández - *Three dimension Lorentzian homogeneous Ricci solitons*, Israel. J. Math.s, 188 (2012), 385 - 403.

[5] M. Brozos–Vásquez, E. Garcia–Rio, S. Gavino-Fernández - *Locally conformally flat Lorentzian gradient Ricci solitons*, Journal of Geometric Analysis, 23 (2013), no. 3, 1196 - 1212.

[6] M. Brozos–Vásquez, E. Garcia–Rio, R. Vásquez–Lorenzo - *Some remarks on locally conformally flat static spacetimes*, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2), 022501 (2005), 11 pp.

[7] Kim, Byung Hak; Lee, Sang Deok; Choi, Jin Hyuk; Lee *Young Ok On warped product spaces with a certain Ricci condition.* Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 50 (2013), no. 5, 1683 -1691.

[8] M. Brozos–Vásquez, E. Garcia–Rio, R. Vásquez–Lorenzo - *Warped product metrics and locally conformally flat structures*, Matemática Contemporânea, SBM, vol **28**, 91–110.

[9] W. Bryant - *Local existence of gradient Ricci solitons*, unpublished.

[10] H. D. Cao, Q. Chen - *On locally conformally flat steady gradient solitons*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 364 (2012), no. 5, 2377 - 2391.

[11] Chow, B., Chu, S.-C., Glickenstein, D., Guenther, C., Isenberg, J., Ivey, T., Knopf, D., Lu, P., Luo, F., Ni, L. - *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications. Part I: Geometric Aspects.*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol **135**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2007.

[12] H. T. Davis - *Introduction to nonlinear differential and integral equations.* Dover Publications, 1962, New York.

[13] M. Fernández–López, E. Garcia–Rio - *Rigidity of shrinking Ricci solitons*, Math. Z., 269 (2011), no. 1-2, 461 - 466.

[14] Kim, B.H., Lee, S.D., Choi, J.H., Lee, Y.O. - *On warped product spaces with a certain Ricci condition.* Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **50**, (2013), No. 5, 1683–1691.

[15] B. O'neil - *Semi–Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity (Academic Press, New York)*

[16] K. Onda - *Lorentzian Ricci solitons on 3-dimensional Lie groups*, Geom. Dedicata, **147** (2010), 313–322.